Industry Interactions in Three Programs

John A. Turner

Group Leader

Computational Engineering and Energy Sciences Chief Computational Scientist

Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light-Water Reactors (CASL)

http://energy.ornl.gov/

HPC User Forum Apr 7-9, 2014, Santa Fe, NM



AK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

AGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERG



Brief Introduction...

- degrees in Nuclear Engineering
- 1990-1997: Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)
 - numerical solution of linear systems
 - radiation transport, fluid flow
- 1997-2001: Blue Sky Studios
 - computer animation
 - physics-based rendering, some fluid flow
- 2001-2008: LANL
 - led computational physics group
 - led applications team for Roadrunner supercomputer
- 2008-now: Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
 - advanced simulation for energy applications
 - focus on nuclear energy systems and batteries

http://www.casl.gov/





http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0268380/



Simulation of metal casting (Telluride Project)



http://www.lanl.gov/roadrunner/

Three Projects with Strong Industry Connections...

- Nuclear energy
- Batteries
- Additive manufacturing (3D printing)

	NE	Batt	AM
Community acceptance of simulation			
Community acceptance of HPC			
Maturity of physics models			
Maturity of software			
State of funding landscape			



Nuclear Energy

- Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light-Water Reactors
 (CASL)
 - http://www.casl.gov/
 - U.S. DOE Innovation Hub



- presentation at Sept. 2010 HPC User Forum in Seattle

- Center for Exascale Simulation of Advanced Reactors (CESAR)
 - http://cesar.mcs.anl.gov/
 - U.S. DOE Office of Science Co-Design Center



CASL was the first DOE Innovation Hub



Core partners

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Electric Power Research Institute

Idaho National Laboratory U.S. Los Alamos National Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology North Carolina State University Sandia National Laboratories Tennessee Valley Authority University of Michigan Westinghouse Electric Company

5 http://energy.ornl.gov/

A Different Approach

- "Multi-disciplinary, highly collaborative teams ideally working under one roof to solve priority technology challenges" – Steven Chu
- "Create a research atmosphere with a <u>fierce sense of</u> <u>urgency</u> to deliver solutions." – *Kristina Johnson*
- Characteristics
 - Leadership Outstanding, independent, scientific leadership
 - Management "Light" federal touch
 - Focus Deliver technologies that can change the U.S. "energy game"





Contributing Partners ASCOMP GmbH CD-adapco City College of New York Florida State University Imperial College London Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Texas A&M University Pennsylvania State University University of Florida University of Wisconsin University of Notre Dame Anatech Corporation Core Physics Inc. G S Nuclear Consulting, LLC University of Texas at Austin University of Texas at Dallas University of Tennessee - Knoxville Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CASL Organization



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CASL Test Stands: From Plan to Execution



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Timeline for CASL Westinghouse Test Stand

- Test Stand discussion (early 2013)
- Scope proposed in Westinghouse memo (April 2013)
- VERA deployment at Westinghouse (June 2013)
- Technical analysis (July-Nov 2013)
- Analysis completed and documented (Jan 2014, Rev. 1 in Mar 2014)



- Single Assembly (7 types)
- Multi Assembly (Partial Rod Insertion)



- Rod Worth (11 Banks)
- Boron Worth
- ITC, DTC and MTC
- MSHIM Maneuver Bank Configuration

Westinghouse

2D

3D Assembly

ЗĎ

Core



Westinghouse Non-Proprietary Class 3

3D Core Power Distribution (AO and M-Banks Inserted)

3D Core ΔPower 100x(VERA-SHIFT)



Benefits

Recommendations

- Enhanced confidence in AP1000 PWR start-up predictions
- Generated high-quality benchmarks for code comparison
- Expanded application of VERA to an advanced core
- Provided key feedback to guide future developments
- Provided framework for VERA build and update

- Mitigate computational resources
- Cycle depletion and shuffling
- Expand capabilities
 - Thermal expansion
 - General reflector
 - Other fuel lattice configurations
- Improve output
- Improve documentation

- Relevant and engaging application of VERA to an advanced PWR first-core
- Very positive and useful experience
- Enhances confidence in first-core start-up prediction

🕙 Westinghouse



Electrical Energy Storage

• primarily batteries, but also supercapacitors and fuel cells



Electric Vehicles (EVs) need cheaper, lighter, and safer batteries

- EVs will have greater penetration with reduced cost
 - Better performing, long life, higher energy density, etc.
- EV adoption will be severely impacted by safety incidences
 - Every day 100s of gasoline vehicles catch fire
 - However any EV fire (even without any casualties) makes headlines



- Modeling is critical not only to reduce cost but also to identify and mitigate these events
 - just like crashworthiness simulations improved vehicle safety

Goal is full virtual crash simulation.

² http://energy.ornl.gov/



Vehicle crash simulation



Design optimization includes vehicle crash compatibility performance.

- Models validated against deformation of crashed vehicles
- Deformation is more difficult to match than accelerometer signals





DOE / EERE / VT CAEBAT Program

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

- Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)
 - Vehicle Technologies (VT) Program Office
- Computer-Aided Engineering for Batteries (CAEBAT)
 - started April, 2010
 - Goal: Predictive battery design tools for optimizing cost, performance and life
 - barriers
 - lack of computational standards for battery modeling
 - no common software framework for integrating battery modeling efforts
 - partners
 - NREL (lead), ORNL, INL
 - three industry teams
 - EC Power / PSU / Ford / JCI
 - GM / ANSYS / Esim
 - CD-adapco / Battery Design / JCI / A123Systems

http://energy.ornl.gov/

4 software suites for use in cell/pack modeling

- 1 from each RFP team may contain (or require) proprietary / commercial components
- additional tool integrates modules from RFP teams as well as Lab and University efforts beyond the RFP teams – community R&D platform - Virtual Integrated Battery Environment (VIBE)

CAEBAT: Current status



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Industry interactions and impacts

- Working closely with three industrial partners on input standardization and coupling
 - BatML v11 XML schema, defines battery components from electrode to packs
 - battery "state" standard that encapsulates information for transfer between components for cell simulation
- Input translators to and from:
 - ANSYS
 - EC-Power
 - CD-adapco
- Coupling components
 - EC-Power is using CAEBAT OAS for parameter sweep and optimization
 - Ongoing work to couple electrochemistry, electrical, and thermal components of ANSYS/CD-adapco
- Close and evolving interaction with automotive manufacturers such as Ford Motor Co.



Additive Manufacturing

- also known as 3D printing
 - explosion in low-cost systems based on Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) technology, a.k.a. Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF)
 - similar trends developing for metals



\$500-\$2,000

A lot of toys, art, ...







But also serious applications...







Advantages and Limitations

- Advantages
 - Energy savings lightweight redesigns, reduced scrap, remanufacturing
 - Design freedom complex geometries impossible with conventional processes
 - Cost savings reduced scrap, avoids high tooling cost of low volume conventional process
 - Shorter leads times from design to product (no wait for tooling manufacture)
- Current Limitations:
 - Limited material selection (metals, polymers)
 - Slow e.g. 32 cm³/hr DMLS build speed
 - Surface roughness finishing may be required
 - Validation and Certification of materials/processes



Turbine blade design with complex internal structures



AM Enabled Design:



- Graded Materials, Composites, and Improved Structures for Enhanced Performance
- Advanced Robotics
 - Aluminum finger (65 grams, \$6500 to fabricate)
 - Titanium finger (61 grams, \$20 worth of material)
- Not possible with conventional technology
- Fast Design Iteration



Electron Beam Melting:

- Electron beam used to melt a powder bed under vacuum - similar to welding
- Excellent compositional control with microstructural refinement showing increased mechanical properties
- Precise control of complex geometries
- 2-D Semi Empirical process model to control temperature profile
 - extremely complicated/convoluted process control
 - current, speed, line scan length, thickness, surface temperature, contour, turning point, empirical corrections, scaling factors, hatch, heat time, etc.

Gas Turbine Engine Engine Part with Rocket Engine Impeller Compressor Support Case















DOE's first Manufacturing Demonstration Facility located at ORNL

Leveraging core capabilities to support advanced manufacturing

- Neutron scattering
- High-performance computing
- Advanced materials
- Advanced characterization



Hardin Valley Campus



Manufacturing Demonstration Facility (MDF): a multidisciplinary DOEfunded facility dedicated to enabling demonstration of next-generation materials and manufacturing technologies for advancing the US industrial economy

www.ornl.gov/manufacturing



Manufacturing

Additive Manufacturing Summary

- Additive manufacturing has the potential to accelerate the dream-design-create-deploy innovation life-cycle.
- Scientific Challenges
 - Mechanical heterogeneity due to spatially (µm to nm) and temporally (<10⁻⁴ s to 1 min) varying chemical, thermal and mechanical gradients
- Vision & Steps
 - Develop and deploy verified and validated HPC models
 - Leverage ORNL strengths in industry partnerships (MDF) and characterization (SNS, HFIR)
- Expected Breakthrough
 - Fundamental understanding of heterogeneity in all additive manufacturing processes innovation to mitigate







Three Projects with Strong Industry Connections...

- Common challenges
 - Intellectual property agreements and software licensing
 - Export control and proprietary data, software, and models
- Specific challenges
 - NE: regulatory environment
 - Batt: heavily experimental, fractured funding landscape
 - AM: incomplete understanding of fundamental processes, fractured funding landscape

- What is working...
 - NE: Test Stand concept
 - Batt: development of standards
 - AM: close partnership with equipment manufacturer
- Lessons
 - Begin addressing IP and software licensing EARLY
 - Simulation community needs to listen carefully in order to learn priorities, concerns

Questions? e-mail: turnerja@ornl.gov

The research and activities described in this presentation were performed using the resources at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC0500OR22725.

26 Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy

